



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

09/27/94, 015 3/22/99 EDMOND and CHRISTOPHER
Herbert Cavallaro

174-745

EXAMINER

PAUL KIM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3729

6

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Kim CPTO (3) Mr. Lester (app. rep.)
(2) Mr. Garity CPTO (4) Mr. Mulgrew (app. rep.)

Date of interview 3/30/00

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: cross sections of various golf balls to demonstrate technology.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1 and 15

Identification of prior art discussed: Melvin et al. and Cavallaro et al. '923 and Tanaka

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the background in golf ball art and the present invention. The claim will probably be amended to include "castable reactive liquid material" in place of "thermoset". The examiner will review the art when amendment is filed. At this time

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
 no agreement was reached, question of obviousness will be evaluated. Claim 15 regarding Tanaka ref. will be reviewed.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., Items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature